

CLINICAL TRIALS

John M. Kane, M.D.

Professor of Psychiatry, Neurology & Neuroscience
Albert Einstein College of Medicine

Thomas Laughren*, M.D.
FDA

Larry Alphas, M.D.
Janssen

Basic Components of Typical Protocol

- Background (Rationale)
- Objectives
- Statement of “the Question/Hypothesis”
- Definition of primary and secondary measures
- Definition of endpoint
- Definition of population to be studied
 - Selection criteria
- Trial design
 - Procedures
 - Schedule
- Statistical analysis plan
- Consent procedures
- Other logistical issues
 - Drug Supply
 - Monitoring
 - Training

Step 1

Identify the customer for the study.

Matching the Information to the Customer

Regulators	<p>Meet necessary regulatory requirements in US and ex-US</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Manage ever shifting demands by customer• Clarify issues that are unclear
Physicians, Patients, Advocacy Groups Professional Societies	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Establish efficacy of drug in relevant areas• Establish safety of drug in relevant areas• “Drivers Manual” issues: Dosing, titration, Drug-Drug Interactions; acute and maintenance effects• Demonstrate value in particular subpopulations<ul style="list-style-type: none">- pediatric, geriatric populations- Effects by gender, race, etc
Payers National/ Federal/State Gov't Managed Care	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Establish “value proposition,” i. e, identify patient populations where drug might bring particular value

Customer: Regulators

- Package Insert—governs promotable information about the drug
- Elements
 - Clinical pharmacology
 - Pharmacodynamics
 - PK
 - Special Populations
 - Gender
 - Age
 - Ethnicity
 - Liver Disease
 - Renal Disease
 - Clinical Indications
 - Dosage range
 - Contraindications
 - Warnings
 - Precautions

Customer: Regulators

- Package Insert—Elements (cont)
 - Drug-drug interactions
 - Side Effects
 - Clinical Trials
 - Post Marketing
 - Pediatric Use
 - Geriatric Use
 - Drug abuse/dependence
 - Safety in Pregnancy/Nursing
 - Discontinuation effects
 - Overdose

Customer: Payers

- “Value proposition”
 - Differentiation from existing compounds
 - New indications
 - Special populations
 - Long term outcomes
 - Disease modification
 - Functioning
 - Adherence

Step 2

Identify the question to be address for customer(s).

Step 3

Identify appropriate methodology.

Trial Design

Study Population

- Rarely identical to ‘target population’
- How generalizable are results of study?
- Need for efficacy studies—knowledge of molecule— and ‘effectiveness’ studies—knowledge of value of molecule in target population

Trial Design

Sources of Information

- Often interpretation of patient experiences via a second party
- Issues
 - Quality of information gathering
 - Ability to interpret information
 - Poor communication/insight from many patients
 - Cultural difference
 - Validity of instruments (diagnostic or rating scales)
 - Reliability of raters
 - Blinding

Trial Design

Comparators

- Choice is dependent on the nature of the question being asked
- Possibilities
 - Across time (historical controls)
 - Between Studies ('virtual head to head')
 - Among treatment groups
 - Placebo
 - FDA requires demonstration of superiority to some comparator that does not worsen the patient's condition.
 - Active...which active comparator?
 - Often desired by clinicians
 - Appropriate use

Trial Design

Randomization

- Random treatment assignment offers many benefits (especially to avoid confounding)
 - Still requires adequate sample size
 - Must be ethical/practical
- Alternatives must be considered where not ethical/practical
- Don't underestimate the intelligence of the patient or the investigator
- Possibilities include: “patient preference” trials, adaptive randomization
- Randomization can be blocked or stratified

Trial Design

Blinding

- Offers reduction of several sources of bias
- Must consider effect on treatment pattern, external validity and pragmatic complications
- At least three levels of blinding possible in large clinical trials
 - Patient
 - Health care provider (physician)
 - Rater
 - Sponsor

Trial Design

Increasing 'Signal to Noise' Ratio

- Exclude confounding concomitant medications
- Exclude confounding psychotherapies
- Control/minimize non-specific interventions
- Dosing schedules (fixed or flexible)
- Trial duration: long enough to see meaningful effect
- Ensure treatment occurs
 - Compliance checks; medication diaries; blood levels
- Site Selection
 - Investigator/Staff/Staff Training/Incentives
 - Mechanisms for follow-up and drop-out control

Trial Design

Patient Selection

- Define eligible population (selection criteria)
 - Varies by phase of development
 - Safety and generalizability are competing factors
- Ensure entry of eligible patients
 - SCID interview/structured interview
 - Review investigator/staff
 - Effects of incentives
 - <http://www.soyouwanna.com/site/syws/guineapig/guineapig.html>

Trial Design

Measures of Outcome

- Choose appropriate secondary efficacy measures and safety/tolerability outcomes
- Efficacy:
 - “Sensitivity analysis”
 - Supports/extends understanding of primary hypothesis
 - Generates new hypotheses
 - May add to causal inference reasoning
 - May help understand confounding issues (e.g., country)
- Safety/Tolerability
 - Ethically required
 - Crucial context for interpreting risk:benefit
 - May be primary outcome measures
- PK and pharmacogenomic information
 - May multiply value of study

Clinical Trial

Evaluation and Analysis of Scales

- Quantification
 - Numerical treatment of typically non numerical reality or theoretical constructs
- Issues
 - Within a construct, does $2 = 2$?
 - Within a construct, does $3 - 2 = 3 - 2$?
 - Within a construct, does $2 - 1 = 4 - 3$?
 - (Is a change from moderate to severe, the same as a change for mild to moderate?)

Clinical Trial

The Primary Inferential Test

- A classical test intending to find difference (null hypothesis of equivalence) or a test intending to find equivalence (non-inferiority)?
 - Because of great variability across populations with respect to response to any treatment (active or placebo) a “difference test” is necessary
 - Equivalence / non-inferiority to an active drug may be equivalence to placebo
- Placebo response is a problem
 - Even when compared to drugs that work well and immediately (e.g. benzodiazepines)
 - Even in severe chronic psychiatric disorders (e.g. schizophrenia)

Trial Design

Sample Size

- What is the right size?
 - What is the question?
 - POC
 - Regulatory Grade
- What is the variability of the measure? What is absolute size of difference sought?
 - Ethical considerations of underexposure/overexposure
- Additional considerations
 - Need for co-variates
 - Need for stratification
 - Need to address potential confounders (country/culture)
 - Noise in Phase 3, based on results of Phase 2
 - Need to exclude sites/data

Clinical Trials

Achieving a Statistically Significant Difference

- Increase the power
 - Increasing number of subjects may increase variance
- Decrease the variance
 - Reduce the number of investigators
 - Reduces number of subjects recruited/unit time
 - Decreases power or increases time to completion
 - Reduce the proportions of “refractory patients” and “placebo responsive patients”
 - Entry criteria
 - Enrichment strategies
 - Affects generalizability of findings
 - Reduce speed of enrollment pressures
 - Training on Clinical Trial measures
 - Better inter-rater reliability, less variance

Clinical Trials: Developing the Report

“How to Read a Research Paper”

- Identify the main question or hypothesis.
- Determine to what extent the methodology allows you to answer that question?
- Be familiar with statistical approaches and their limitations.
- Review the results
 - Be skeptical of p values
 - Are results supported by secondary measures
 - Consider generalizability of data
- Read discussion

FDA Role in Psychopharmacological Drug Treatment Development

Thomas Laughren, M.D.
Director,
Division of Psychiatry Products
Food and Drug Administration

Topics Covered

- Ideal knowledge base for new drug
- Phases of drug development
- FDA's role in drug development
- Key regulatory issues for the development of psychiatric drugs

Ideal Knowledge Base for New Psychotropic (Part I)

- Identify population(s) that will benefit (and how)
- Understand how to use the drug
- Understand drug interactions
- Know comparative efficacy and safety

Ideal Knowledge Base for New Psychotropic (Part II)

- Identify population (s) that will benefit (and how)
 - Adequately characterize population
 - Predictive value of illness subtypes, etc
 - Role of biomarkers
 - What features of illness do and do not respond?
 - Management of nonresponders
 - Note: Re-randomization design

Ideal Knowledge Base for New Psychotropic (Part III)

- Understand how to use the drug
 - Dose response curve (same for plasma level)
 - Effectiveness range
 - Minimum Effective Dose
 - Plateau for effectiveness
 - Maximum Tolerated Dose
 - Safety: D/R for important adverse events
 - Optimal titration
 - Daily dosing schedule
 - Titration schedule (increments/intervals)
 - Timing of efficacy and safety
 - Time of onset
 - Duration of effect (both short-term and long-term)
 - Note: Randomized withdrawal design
 - How to stop the drug

Ideal Knowledge Base for New Psychotropic (Part IV)

- Understand drug interactions
 - Both for efficacy and safety
 - Both PK and PD
 - General Types of Drug Interactions to Explore
 - Drug-drug
 - Drug-disease
 - Drug-demographic

Safety: Requirements for Approval [Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Sec. 505)]

- “include all tests reasonably applicable to show...drug is safe...under...proposed labeling”
- “results of such tests show...drug is safe under such conditions”

Phases of Drug Development

- Phase 1: Initial human trials--Tolerability and pharmacokinetics
- Phase 2: Early patient studies
- Phase 3: Definitive clinical safety and efficacy trials
- Phase 4: Postmarketing development

Efficacy: Requirement for Approval
[Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act
(Sec. 505)]

- "Substantial evidence" of effectiveness from "adequate and well-controlled investigations"

ICH Guidance Regarding Population Exposure to Assess Safety

- For drugs intended for long-term treatment of non-life-threatening conditions
- General expectations for exposure
 - 1500 overall
 - 300-600 for ≥ 6 months
 - 100 for ≥ 1 year
- These numbers refer to exposure at relevant doses

Labeling: Requirement for Approval
[Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act
(Sec. 505)]

- Labeling must not be "...false or misleading in any particular."

FDA's Role in Psychiatric Drug Development (with focus on clinical aspects)

- Oversight of IND process
- NDA review and action
- Drug labeling (package insert)
- Drug promotion and advertising

FDA Oversight of IND Process

- Initial IND review (may proceed/hold)
- Ongoing protocol review
- Review of adverse event reports
 - Note: Reflected in Clinical Investigator Brochure
- Review of annual reports

NDA Review and Action

- Different levels of review
- Decision-making authority (division vs office)
- Actions:
 - Complete Response
 - Approval

Interactions of FDA with Sponsors

- Formal Meetings
 - PreIND
 - End-of-Phase 2 (EOP2)
 - PreNDA
 - Often other meetings as well
- Formal correspondence (letters)
- Informal contacts (telcon, fax, e-mail)

Key Regulatory Issues in Discussions with Sponsors

- Identifying acceptable clinical targets for drug claims
- Identifying populations to study
- Identifying acceptable trial designs
- Specifying primary and secondary endpoints in clinical trials

Evolution in Psychiatric Drug Claims over Past 20 Years

- Previous approach: Broad claims (mostly anxiety, depression, psychosis)
- Current approach: Specific diseases or syndromes (and possibly specific symptoms or symptom clusters)

Specific Psychiatric Diseases/Syndromes for which Psychotropics Now Approved

- Generalized Anxiety Disorder
- Obsessive Compulsive Disorder
- Panic Disorder
- Social Anxiety Disorder
- Posttraumatic Stress Disorder
- Major Depressive Disorder
- Bipolar Depression
- Seasonal affective disorder
- Schizophrenia
- Mania
- Bulimia
- Premenstrual Dysphoric Disorder
- ADHD

Specific Psychiatric Symptoms or Symptom Clusters for which Psychotropics Now Approved

- Agitation in schizophrenia
- Agitation in mania
- Suicidality in schizophrenia

Specific Psychiatric Diseases/Syndromes and Symptom Clusters for which Psychotropics are Being Developed

- Psychosis of Alzheimer's Disease
- Psychotic depression
- Treatment resistant depression
- Cognitive deficits in schizophrenia
- Negative symptoms of schizophrenia

Evolution in Psychiatric Populations Studied over Past 20 Years

- Previous approach: Limited diversity in demographics and comorbidity
- Current approach: More diverse demographics and more comorbidity
 - Especially pediatric and elderly populations
- Future: Need greater diversity in Phase 3 (demographics, comorbidity, co-administered drugs)

Evolution in Trial Designs for Psychiatric Drug Studies over Past 20 Years

- Previous approach: Mostly acute (3-6 weeks), flexible dose vs placebo
- Current approach:
 - Longer acute studies (up to 12 weeks)
 - More fixed dose studies
 - More 3-way studies (active control and placebo)
 - More long-term studies (randomized withdrawal)
 - Add-on studies
 - Fixed combination trials
 - Large simple trials

Primary vs Secondary Outcomes

- Primary Outcome
 - Primary hypothesis being tested
 - Needed for “win”
 - Usually change from baseline, drug vs placebo, on disease specific measure
 - If more than one, need to make it at $p \leq 0.05$ on all
- Secondary Outcomes
 - Clinical questions of interest, but may not be considered essential for win (e.g., CGI or functional status)

Labeling Implications of Secondary Outcomes

- In past, generally not considered acceptable to include in labeling
- Alternative approach:
 - Prior agreement with division on certain “key” secondary outcomes
 - Declaration in protocol of these secondary outcomes
 - New drug need not “win” on these for study to be considered “positive”
 - If primary outcome is positive, distribute $\alpha=0.05$ over declared secondary outcomes (or test sequentially)
 - Positive results included in labeling, if replicated

Other Regulatory Issues Under Active Discussion

- Comparative claims (superiority or noninferiority)
- Time of onset
- Optimal designs for longer-term efficacy trials
- Critical Path Initiative